

On a new probabilistic representation for the solution of the heat equation

Paolo Da Pelo Alberto Lanconelli

Dipartimento di Matematica
 Universita' degli Studi di Bari
 Via E. Orabona, 4- CAP 70125 Bari, Italia
 E-mails: dapelo@dm.uniba.it, lanconelli@dm.uniba.it

Abstract

We obtain a new probabilistic representation for the solution of the heat equation in terms of a product for smooth random variables which is introduced and studied in this paper. This multiplication, expressed in terms of the Hida-Malliavin derivatives of the random variables involved, exhibits many useful properties which are to some extents opposite to some peculiar features of the Wick product.

Key words and phrases: heat equation, Hida-Malliavin derivative, second quantization operators, smooth random variables.

AMS 2000 classification: 60H30, 60H07.

1 Introduction

In the theory of stochastic differential equations (SDEs), Itô SDEs driven by a one-dimensional Brownian motion are the most investigated ones; they are of the form,

$$X_t^x(\omega) = x + \int_0^t b(X_s^x(\omega))ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s^x(\omega))dB_s(\omega), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (1.1)$$

where $b, \sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are measurable functions, $\{B_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is a one-dimensional Brownian motion, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is the initial value and the last term in the right-hand side is an Itô integral. Stochastic differential equations are, in fact, integral equations; the non-differentiability of the Brownian motion with respect to t forces the integral interpretation of the equation. White noise analysis is a theory of stochastic distributions, analogous in the construction to the classical distribution theory; within this framework one can give a precise meaning

to the time derivative of the Brownian motion and obtain the so called *white noise* $W_t(\omega)$. One of the crucial differences between ordinary and stochastic differential equations is that the just mentioned differentiation reduces equation (1.1) to:

$$\frac{dX_t^x(\omega)}{dt} = b(X_t^x(\omega)) + \sigma(X_t^x(\omega)) \diamond W_t(\omega).$$

The symbol $\diamond$ denotes the *Wick product* of $\sigma(X_t^x(\omega))$ and $W_t(\omega)$. This operation, defined for a certain class of stochastic distributions, is therefore the hidden core of Itô integration theory and Itô stochastic differential equations.

It is well known (see for instance [3]) that for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} B_t^{\diamond n} &:= \underbrace{B_t \diamond \cdots \diamond B_t}_{n\text{-times}} \\ &= h_{n,t}(B_t), \end{aligned}$$

where $h_{n,t}$ denotes the n -th order Hermite polynomial with parameter t and leading coefficient one. More generally, if $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a real analytic function with expansion

$$g(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n x^n, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) &:= g^{\diamond}(B_t)|_{B_t=x} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n B_t^{\diamond n} \Big|_{B_t=x} \end{aligned}$$

solves the backward heat equation

$$\partial_t u(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx} u(t, x) = 0.$$

See [7] and the references quoted there.

The aim of the present paper is to establish a similar correspondence between a certain stochastic multiplication and the solution of the forward heat equation

$$\partial_t u(t, x) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx} u(t, x) = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

More precisely we introduce a new product for smooth random variables, defined in terms of Hida-Malliavin derivatives and named anti-Wick product, and we show that the unique

solution of (1.2) with initial condition f can be explicitly represented in terms of this multiplication and the data f . We also show that the solution of (1.2) with initial data $f \cdot g$ can be written as the anti-Wick product of the two solutions with data f and g , respectively.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 recalls basic definitions, notations and theorems from the Malliavin calculus and White Noise theory. In Section 3 we define the anti-Wick product for smooth random variables and study some of its crucial properties, in particular the representation stated in Theorem 3.7. In Section 4 we present the main result of the paper, i.e. the new probabilistic representation for the solution of the heat equation. Finally in the Appendix we give two formulas that relate Wick and anti-Wick products.

2 Framework

In this section we set the necessary tools for our investigation. For more information we refer the reader to one of the books [2],[3],[5],[6], [9],[10].

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ be a complete probability space which carries a one dimensional Brownian motion $\{B_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$. Assume that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_T$ where $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ denotes the augmented Brownian filtration; as a consequence of this assumption we get that the set

$$\left\{ \mathcal{E}(f) := \exp \left\{ \int_0^T f(s) dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T f^2(s) ds \right\}, f \in \mathcal{L}^2([0, T]) \right\}$$

is total in $\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ ($\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega)$ for short).

According to the Wiener-Itô chaos decomposition theorem any $X \in \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega)$ can be uniquely represented as

$$X = \sum_{n \geq 0} I_n(h_n) \quad (\text{convergence in } \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega)),$$

where $I_0(h_0) := E[X]$ and for $n \geq 1$, $h_n \in \mathcal{L}^2([0, T]^n)$ is a deterministic symmetric function; $I_n(h_n)$ stands for the n -th order multiple Itô integral of h_n with respect to the Brownian motion $\{B_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$. Moreover one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|X\|_2^2 &:= E[|X|^2] \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} n! |h_n|_{\mathcal{L}^2([0, T]^n)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We now introduce the following family of Hilbert spaces of smooth random variables that was defined by Potthoff and Timpel [11] and further studied by Benth and Potthoff [1].

For $\lambda \geq 1$, let

$$\mathcal{G}_\lambda := \left\{ X = \sum_{n \geq 0} I_n(h_n) \in \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega) : \sum_{n \geq 0} n! \lambda^{2n} |h_n|_{\mathcal{L}^2([0, T]^n)}^2 < +\infty \right\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega)$ and for $1 \leq \lambda < \mu$, $\mathcal{G}_\mu \subset \mathcal{G}_\lambda \subset \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega)$. We also denote

$$\mathcal{G} := \bigcap_{\lambda \geq 1} \mathcal{G}_\lambda.$$

The most representative element of $\mathcal{G}$ is $\mathcal{E}(f)$ with $f \in \mathcal{L}^2([0, T])$. In fact, since

$$\mathcal{E}(f) = \sum_{n \geq 0} I_n \left(\frac{f^{\otimes n}}{n!} \right),$$

for any $\lambda \geq 1$ one has

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^{2n}}{n!} |f|_{\mathcal{L}^2([0, T])}^{2n} < +\infty.$$

If $A : \mathcal{L}^2([0, T]) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^2([0, T])$ is a bounded linear operator then its *second quantization operator* $\Gamma(A) : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(A)X &= \Gamma(A) \sum_{n \geq 0} I_n(h_n) \\ &:= \sum_{n \geq 0} I_n(A^{\otimes n} h_n). \end{aligned}$$

With this notation the space $\mathcal{G}_\lambda$ previously defined can be described as

$$\mathcal{G}_\lambda = \{X \in \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega) : \|X\|_{\mathcal{G}_\lambda} := \|\Gamma(\lambda I)X\|_2 < +\infty\},$$

where I stands for the identity operator on $\mathcal{L}^2([0, T])$. In the sequel the operator $\Gamma(\lambda I)$ will be denoted simply by $\Gamma(\lambda)$.

Observe that

$$\Gamma(A)\mathcal{E}(f) = \mathcal{E}(Af).$$

Finally let $X = \sum_{n \geq 0} I_n(h_n) \in \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega)$. For $t \in [0, T]$ the random variable:

$$D_t X := \sum_{n \geq 1} n I_{n-1}(h_n(\cdot, t)),$$

where $h_n(\cdot, t)$ is now considered as a function of $n - 1$ variables, is called the *Hida-Malliavin derivative* of X at t . By iteration we also define for $k \geq 2$ the k -th order Hida-Malliavin derivative of X at $(t_1, \dots, t_k) \in [0, T]^k$ as

$$D_{t_1, \dots, t_k}^k X := \sum_{n \geq k} n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1) I_{n-k}(h_n(\cdot, t_1, \dots, t_k)).$$

It is easy to see that if $X \in \mathcal{G}$ then for any $k \geq 1$ and any $(t_1, \dots, t_k) \in [0, T]^k$ the random variable $D_{t_1, \dots, t_k}^k X$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega)$ and

$$E \left[\int_{[0, T]^k} |D_{t_1, \dots, t_k}^k X|^2 dt_1 \dots dt_k \right] < +\infty.$$

A direct calculation shows that for $k \geq 1$,

$$D_{t_1, \dots, t_k}^k \mathcal{E}(f) = f(t_1) \cdots f(t_k) \mathcal{E}(f).$$

3 A new product for smooth random variables

We begin introducing a family of products.

Definition 3.1 *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real analytic function such that $\varphi(0) = 1$ and with expansion,*

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k x^k, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For $X, Y \in \mathcal{G}$ define their $\circ_\varphi$ -product as

$$X \circ_\varphi Y := \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \int_{[0, T]^n} D_{t_1, \dots, t_n}^n X \cdot D_{t_1, \dots, t_n}^n Y dt_1 \dots dt_n.$$

To ease the notation we will write from now on

$$\int_{[0, T]^n} D_t^n X \cdot D_t^n Y dt$$

to denote the quantity

$$\int_{[0, T]^n} D_{t_1, \dots, t_n}^n X \cdot D_{t_1, \dots, t_n}^n Y dt_1 \dots dt_n.$$

Remark 3.2 *Observe that for $\varphi(x) = 1$ we get $X \circ_\varphi Y = X \cdot Y$ while for $\varphi(x) = e^{-x}$ we get $X \circ_\varphi Y = X \diamond Y$ (for a discussion of the last identity see [4], [8] and the references quoted there).*

The $\circ_\varphi$ -product is clearly commutative and distributive with respect to the sum. We have required the condition $\varphi(0) = 1$ so that $X \circ_\varphi Y$ reduces to $X \cdot Y$ in the case where X or Y is constant.

The next theorem provides a necessary condition on φ for the associativity of the corresponding $\circ_\varphi$ -product.

Theorem 3.3 *If the $\circ_\varphi$ -product is associative then $\varphi(x) = e^{\alpha x}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.*

PROOF. Assume that the $\circ_\varphi$ -product is associative; this means that for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $X \circ_\varphi Y, Y \circ_\varphi Z \in \mathcal{G}$, the equality

$$(X \circ_\varphi Y) \circ_\varphi Z = X \circ_\varphi (Y \circ_\varphi Z) \quad (3.1)$$

holds true.

Fix $f, g, h \in \mathcal{L}^2([0, T])$ and choose

$$X = \mathcal{E}(f), Y = \mathcal{E}(g) \text{ and } Z = \mathcal{E}(h).$$

With these choices the left-hand side of (3.1) becomes after some simple calculations ($\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product in $\mathcal{L}^2([0, T])$):

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E}(f) \circ_\varphi \mathcal{E}(g)) \circ_\varphi \mathcal{E}(h) &= \varphi(\langle f, g \rangle) (\mathcal{E}(f) \mathcal{E}(g)) \circ_\varphi \mathcal{E}(h) \\ &= \varphi(\langle f, g \rangle) (\mathcal{E}(f + g) e^{\langle f, g \rangle}) \circ_\varphi \mathcal{E}(h) \\ &= \varphi(\langle f, g \rangle) \varphi(\langle f + g, h \rangle) e^{\langle f, g \rangle} \mathcal{E}(f + g) \mathcal{E}(h) \\ &= \varphi(\langle f, g \rangle) \varphi(\langle f + g, h \rangle) \mathcal{E}(f) \mathcal{E}(g) \mathcal{E}(h). \end{aligned}$$

while proceeding as before the right-hand side reduces to:

$$\mathcal{E}(f) \circ_\varphi (\mathcal{E}(g) \circ_\varphi \mathcal{E}(h)) = \varphi(\langle f, g + h \rangle) \varphi(\langle g, h \rangle) \mathcal{E}(f) \mathcal{E}(g) \mathcal{E}(h).$$

Therefore equation (3.1) now reads

$$\varphi(\langle f, g \rangle) \varphi(\langle f + g, h \rangle) = \varphi(\langle f, g + h \rangle) \varphi(\langle g, h \rangle),$$

or equivalently

$$\varphi(\langle f, g \rangle) \varphi(\langle f, h \rangle + \langle g, h \rangle) = \varphi(\langle f, g \rangle + \langle f, h \rangle) \varphi(\langle g, h \rangle),$$

Since $f, g, h \in \mathcal{L}^2([0, T])$ were fixed but arbitrary we can choose f and g to be orthogonal in $\mathcal{L}^2([0, T])$ and obtain the equation (recall that by assumption $\varphi(0) = 1$),

$$\varphi(\langle f, h \rangle + \langle g, h \rangle) = \varphi(\langle f, h \rangle) \varphi(\langle g, h \rangle).$$

Since the equation above is satisfied only by exponential functions, among the class of continuous functions, the proof is complete. $\square$

We now focus our attention on one specific $\circ_\varphi$ -product which will be shown to be related to the heat equation. We begin by proving a regularity result.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{G}_{\sqrt{2}}$. Then the series*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[0, T]^n} D_t^n X \cdot D_t^n Y dt,$$

converges in $\mathcal{L}^1(\Omega)$. More precisely,

$$\left\| \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[0, T]^n} D_t^n X \cdot D_t^n Y dt \right\|_1 \leq \|X\|_{\mathcal{G}_{\sqrt{2}}} \|Y\|_{\mathcal{G}_{\sqrt{2}}}. \quad (3.2)$$

PROOF. Denote by $\|\cdot\|_1$ the norm in $\mathcal{L}^1(\Omega)$. By means of the triangle and Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[0, T]^n} D_t^n X \cdot D_t^n Y dt \right\|_1 &\leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \left\| \int_{[0, T]^n} D_t^n X \cdot D_t^n Y dt \right\|_1 \\ &\leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[0, T]^n} \|D_t^n X \cdot D_t^n Y\|_1 dt \\ &\leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[0, T]^n} \|D_t^n X\|_2 \cdot \|D_t^n Y\|_2 dt \\ &\leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\int_{[0, T]^n} \|D_t^n X\|_2^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{[0, T]^n} \|D_t^n Y\|_2^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[0, T]^n} \|D_t^n X\|_2^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[0, T]^n} \|D_t^n Y\|_2^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now consider the quantity

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[0, T]^n} \|D_t^n X\|_2^2 dt.$$

If $\sum_{k \geq 0} I_k(h_k)$ is the Wiener-Itô chaos decomposition of X , then

$$\int_{[0, T]^n} \|D_t^n X\|_2^2 dt = \sum_{k \geq n} \frac{k!^2}{(k-n)!} |h_k|_{\mathcal{L}^2([0, T]^k)}^2,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[0, T]^n} \|D_t^n X\|_2^2 dt &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k \geq n} \frac{k!^2}{(k-n)!} |h_k|_{\mathcal{L}^2([0, T]^k)}^2 \\
&= \sum_{k \geq 0} k! |h_k|_{\mathcal{L}^2([0, T]^k)}^2 \sum_{n=0}^k \frac{k!}{n!(k-n)!} \\
&= \sum_{k \geq 0} k! 2^k |h_k|_{\mathcal{L}^2([0, T]^k)}^2 \\
&= E[|\Gamma(\sqrt{2})X|^2] \\
&= \|X\|_{\mathcal{G}_{\sqrt{2}}}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The same reasoning can be carried for Y completing the proof. $\square$

In view of Remark 3.2 and Lemma 3.4 we make the following definition.

Definition 3.5 *Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{G}_{\sqrt{2}}$. The anti-Wick product of X and Y , denoted by $X \circ Y$, is the element of $\mathcal{L}^1(\Omega)$ defined as*

$$X \circ Y := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[0, T]^n} D_t^n X \cdot D_t^n Y dt.$$

Remark 3.6 *The anti-Wick product corresponds to the $\circ_\varphi$ -product with $\varphi(x) = e^x$.*

We now prove a crucial result.

Theorem 3.7 *Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{G}_{\sqrt{2}}$. Then we have the representation,*

$$X \circ Y = \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)(\Gamma(\sqrt{2})X \cdot \Gamma(\sqrt{2})Y).$$

PROOF. First of all we observe that due to inequality (3.2), if X_n converges to X in $\mathcal{G}_{\sqrt{2}}$, then $X_n \circ Y$ converges to $X \circ Y$ in $\mathcal{L}^1(\Omega)$. Moreover the family of stochastic exponentials

$$\mathcal{E}(f) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^T f(s) dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T f^2(s) ds \right\}, f \in \mathcal{L}^2([0, T]),$$

forms a total set in $\mathcal{G}_{\sqrt{2}}$. Therefore by linearity and continuity it is sufficient to prove the theorem for stochastic exponentials. But this is easily done; indeed for any $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^2([0, T])$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}(f) \circ \mathcal{E}(g) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[0, T]^n} D_t^n \mathcal{E}(f) \cdot D_t^n \mathcal{E}(g) dt \\
&= \mathcal{E}(f) \mathcal{E}(g) e^{\int_0^T f(s)g(s)ds},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)(\Gamma(\sqrt{2})\mathcal{E}(f) \cdot \Gamma(\sqrt{2})\mathcal{E}(g)) &= \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)(\mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2}f)\mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2}g)) \\
&= \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2}(f+g))e^{2\int_0^T f(s)g(s)ds} \\
&= \mathcal{E}(f+g)e^{2\int_0^T f(s)g(s)ds} \\
&= \mathcal{E}(f)\mathcal{E}(g)e^{\int_0^T f(s)g(s)ds}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.8 *The anti-Wick product is associative.*

PROOF. Let $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{G}_{\sqrt{2}}$ be such that $X \circ Y, Y \circ Z \in \mathcal{G}_{\sqrt{2}}$. We have to prove that

$$(X \circ Y) \circ Z = X \circ (Y \circ Z).$$

By the representation of Theorem 3.7, this follows immediately by a straightforward verification. □

4 Application to the heat equation

The representation in Theorem 3.7 enables us to write for $X \in \mathcal{G}_{\sqrt{2}}$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
X^{\circ n} &:= \underbrace{X \circ \dots \circ X}_{n\text{-times}} \\
&= \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\left((\Gamma(\sqrt{2})X)^n\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore if $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that $f(\Gamma(\sqrt{2})X) \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega)$ we can define

$$f^\circ(X) := \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)f(\Gamma(\sqrt{2})X),$$

as an element of $\mathcal{L}^1(\Omega)$.

Example 4.1 Let $f(x) = \exp\{x\}$ and $h \in \mathcal{L}^2([0, T])$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp^\circ \left\{ \int_0^T h(s) dB_s \right\} &= \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \exp \left\{ \Gamma(\sqrt{2}) \int_0^T h(s) dB_s \right\} \\
&= \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \exp \left\{ \sqrt{2} \int_0^T h(s) dB_s \right\} \\
&= \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \mathcal{E}(\sqrt{2}h) e^{\int_0^T h^2(s) ds} \\
&= \mathcal{E}(h) e^{\int_0^T h^2(s) ds} \\
&= \exp \left\{ \int_0^T h(s) dB_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T h(s) ds \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe the symmetry with the case

$$\exp^\circ \left\{ \int_0^T h(s) dB_s \right\} = \exp \left\{ \int_0^T h(s) dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T h(s) ds \right\}.$$

We now come to the main theorems of the present section which establish a new probabilistic representation for the solution of the heat equation in terms of anti-Wick products.

Theorem 4.2 Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded and continuous function and let $u : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx} u(t, x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in]0, T] \\ u(0, x) = f(x), & x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

among the class of functions satisfying the bound

$$|u(t, x)| \leq A e^{ax^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, T],$$

for some $a, A > 0$. Then for $t \in [0, T]$,

$$u(t, B_t) = f^\circ(B_t).$$

PROOF. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
f^\circ(B_t) &= \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) f(\Gamma(\sqrt{2})B_t) \\
&= \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) f(\sqrt{2}B_t).
\end{aligned}$$

Fix $h \in \mathcal{L}^2([0, T])$; we will prove the theorem by showing that

$$E[u(t, B_t) \mathcal{E}(h)] = E[f^\circ(B_t) \mathcal{E}(h)],$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{L}^2([0, T])$. Using the properties of second quantization operators and the Girsanov theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} E[f^\circ(B_t)\mathcal{E}(h)] &= E\left[\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)f(\sqrt{2}B_t)\right)\mathcal{E}(h)\right] \\ &= E\left[f(\sqrt{2}B_t)\mathcal{E}\left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right] \\ &= E\left[f\left(\sqrt{2}B_t + \int_0^t h(s)ds\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

The law of $\sqrt{2}B_t$ is the same as the one of $B_t + \tilde{B}_t$ where $\tilde{B}_t$ is another Brownian motion independent of B_t and defined on an auxiliary probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}})$. Therefore, denoting by $\tilde{E}$ the expectation in this new probability space, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[f\left(\sqrt{2}B_t + \int_0^t h(s)ds\right)\right] &= E\left[\tilde{E}\left[f\left(B_t + \tilde{B}_t + \int_0^t h(s)ds\right)\right]\right] \\ &= E\left[u\left(t, B_t + \int_0^t h(s)ds\right)\right] \\ &= E[u(t, B_t)\mathcal{E}(h)], \end{aligned}$$

where we used the well known formula

$$u(t, x) = \tilde{E}[f(\tilde{B}_t + x)], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, T].$$

The proof is complete. □

Under the same assumptions on the initial condition we can also prove the following.

Theorem 4.3 *Let $u(t, x)$ be the unique solution of*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, x) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_{xx}u(t, x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in]0, T], \\ u(0, x) = f(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

and $v(t, x)$ be the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v(t, x) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_{xx}v(t, x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in]0, T] \\ v(0, x) = g(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover let $w(t, x)$ be the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w(t, x) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_{xx}w(t, x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in]0, T] \\ w(0, x) = f(x)g(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Then for each $t \in [0, T]$,

$$u(t, B_t) \circ v(t, B_t) = w(t, B_t).$$

PROOF. By Theorem 3.7 we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
u(t, B_t) \circ v(t, B_t) &= \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)(\Gamma(\sqrt{2})u(t, B_t) \cdot \Gamma(\sqrt{2})v(t, B_t)) \\
&= \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)(f(\sqrt{2}B_t)g(\sqrt{2}B_t)) \\
&= \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)((f \cdot g)(\sqrt{2}B_t)) \\
&= w(t, B_t).
\end{aligned}$$

□

5 Appendix

In this section we prove two formulas relating Wick and anti-Wick products.

Proposition 5.1 *Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{G}$. Then,*

$$X \circ Y = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2^n}{n!} \int_{[0, T]^n} D_t^n X \diamond D_t^n Y dt.$$

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
X \circ Y &= \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{j!} \int_{[0, T]^j} D_t^j X \cdot D_t^j Y dt \\
&= \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{j!} \int_{[0, T]^j} \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \int_{[0, T]^k} D_{s, t}^{j+k} X \diamond D_{s, t}^{j+k} Y ds \right) dt \\
&= \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{j!} \frac{1}{k!} \int_{[0, T]^j} \int_{[0, T]^k} D_{s, t}^{j+k} X \diamond D_{s, t}^{j+k} Y ds dt \\
&= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{[0, T]^n} D_t^n X \diamond D_t^n Y dt \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \\
&= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2^n}{n!} \int_{[0, T]^n} D_t^n X \diamond D_t^n Y dt.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 5.2 *Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{G}$. Then,*

$$X \diamond Y = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-2)^n}{n!} \int_{[0, T]^n} D_t^n X \circ D_t^n Y dt.$$

PROOF. For $S, T \in \mathcal{G}$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} S \diamond T &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_{[0, T]^n} D_t^n X \cdot D_t^n Y dt \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_{[0, T]^n} D_t^n \Gamma(\sqrt{2}) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) X \cdot D_t^n \Gamma(\sqrt{2}) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) Y dt \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_{[0, T]^n} 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\sqrt{2}) D_t^n \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) X \cdot 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\sqrt{2}) D_t^n \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) Y dt \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-2)^n}{n!} \Gamma(\sqrt{2}) \int_{[0, T]^n} D_t^n \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) X \circ D_t^n \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) Y dt. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the operator $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$ to the first and last terms of the previous chain of equalities we obtain the desired result by letting $X := \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) S$ and $Y := \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) T$. $\square$

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Aurel I. Stan for stimulating discussions.

References

- [1] Benth, F.E. and Potthoff, J.: On the martingale property for generalized stochastic processes, *Stochastics* **58** (1996) 349–367.
- [2] Hida, T., Kuo, H.-H., Potthoff, J. and Streit, L.: *White noise: an infinite dimensional calculus*, Kluwer, 1993.
- [3] Holden, H., Øksendal, B., Ubøe, J. and Zhang, T.-S.: *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations- A Modeling, White Noise Functional Approach*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.
- [4] Hu, Y. and Yan, J.: Wick calculus for nonlinear Gaussian functionals, *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica* **25**, n.3 (2009) 399–414.
- [5] Janson, S.: *Gaussian Hilbert spaces*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 129. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

- [6] Kuo, H.H.: *White noise distribution theory*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1996.
- [7] Lanconelli, A.: Wick product and backward heat equation, *Mediterr. J. Math.* **2**, no. 4 (2005) 367–379.
- [8] Lanconelli, A.: On the extension of a basic property of conditional expectation to second quantization operators, *Communications on Stochastic Analysis* **3**, n.3 (2009) 369–381.
- [9] Nualart, D.: *The Malliavin calculus and related topics*, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [10] Obata, N.: *White noise calculus and Fock space*, LNM 1577, Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [11] Potthoff, J. and Timpel, M.: On a dual pair of spaces of smooth and generalized random variables, *Potential Analysis* **4**, 6 (1995) 637–654.